

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—(a) A statement showing the total area of lands set apart for the grazing in each of the Taluks is placed on the Council Table.

(b) A list containing the required information is laid on the Council Table.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Is the whole acreage mentioned here fit for grazing?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—I want notice.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—In the Cranganur Taluk, there is no grazing ground. I want to know how the cattle in Cranganur manage to get on.

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—There was no grazing ground set apart at the time of Settlement.

President.—They must somehow or other manage without resorting to grazing grounds.

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—In the Settlement, no grazing-ground was set apart.

President.—It may possibly be that there was no suitable grazing ground.

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—Yes. All of them were occupied lands. Even *porambokes* were occupied.

Certain publications regarding the election at Kunnankulam.

34. **Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.**—Will the Government be pleased to state what action has been taken in the matter referred to in question No. 80 of the Budget Session of 1903, by the District Magistrate?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—The District Magistrate sent the records to the Kunnankulam Sub-Inspector for investigation and necessary action. Government have, however, since ordered that no prosecution is necessary.

Appointment of a peon in the Anchal Department.

35. **Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.**—Will the Government be pleased to peruse the article “*ചങ്ങനാശ്ശി*” in the “*മലബാർ*” newspaper of 3rd Chingam 1904 and state if the allegations in para 2 of that article are true; if so, what are the superior qualifications of the servant of the Superintendent for the appointment?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—It is true that the Mail Peon of the Trichur Anchal Office applied for a month's privilege leave in Karkadagam 1903, and the Anchalmaster recommended a brother of the peon to act for him. The recommendation could not be accepted, as it was against departmental Circular No. 5 of 1902, the nominee being a relative of the Mail Peon. The man appointed was not in any way disqualified for the post.